

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Allowability	10/809,619	MOHLER ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charlie Peng	2883
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject t	plication. If not included not will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>reply filed 22 Septemb</u>	<u>ber 2005</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-54.		
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply ENT of this application. tted. Note the attached EXAMINER s reason(s) why the oath or declara-	national stage application from the complying with the requirements
 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. 	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	Office action of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the first of the should be labeled as such in the should be labeled as such as should be labeled as sh	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL I	must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No./Mail Date 05/05/2004 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 7. Examiner's Amendi 8. Examiner's Statemo	te

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The examiner required a restriction of different species in a previous office action dated 25 August 2005. Upon further consideration, the restriction requirement is withdrawn, all claims/species will be examined on merits.

Reasons for Allowance

Claims 1 and 48 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Both claims 1 and 48 teach fiber optic drop cables and a method of testing shrinkage of at least the section of cables carrying optical fibers within. The fiber optic drop cable (of claim 1) having a messenger section, a carrier section (or jacket), and a connecting web is known in the art, (e.g., U.S. Patent 4,856,867) so is inserting a roving as a strength member. (e.g., U.S. Patent 5,050,957) However, the shrinkage test procedure developed by the applicants are not taught or suggested by prior art and it is not obvious to combine all the different testing parameters. It is the examiner's opinion that the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a shrinkage test comprising placing a 1 meter sample in a 70°C thermal chamber for at least 30 minutes, cooling the 1 meter sample to 20°C, and measuring an average shrinkage in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claims 2-11 and 49-54 are allowed as they depend upon allowed claims 1 and 48.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,619

Art Unit: 2883

Claims 12 and 41 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Both claims 12 and 41 teach fiber optic drop cables. U.S. Patent 4,975,232 to Hattori et al. teaches using glass or carbon fiber roving in a cured resin matrix to reinforce optical communication cables but makes no reference with regard to a weight percentage of the resin matrix. Coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) is also known as a parameter in fiber optic cables manufacture since it provides indications to resilience in varying thermal conditions. However, applicant disclosed an advantage of the particular roving of 10% resin matrix by weight as it reduces CTE compared to conventional fiberglass strands, prior art does not teach or suggest any correlation between roving and CTE. It would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, through routine experimentation, to create a fiber optic cable having the roving with a 10% or less by weight resin matrix and a CTE of about 5.0x10⁻³%/°C. Thus it is the examiner's opinion that the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the claimed fiber optic cable parameters in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claims 13-22 and 42-47 are allowed as they depend upon allowed claims 12 and 41.

Claims 23 and 34 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Both claims 23 and 34 teach fiber optic drop cables. U.S. Patent 4,975,232 to Hattori et al. teaches using glass or carbon fiber roving in a cured resin matrix to

Application/Control Number: 10/809,619

Art Unit: 2883

reinforce optical communication cables but not the resin matrix being a water-based acrylic composition that includes an ethylene-acrylic acid. Furthermore, applicant disclosed an advantage of the particular roving with the water-based acrylic resin matrix as it reduces maximum delta attenuation significantly compared to conventional optical (measured at 1550 nm reference wavelength and a temperature of –40°C). Prior art does not teach or suggest any correlation between roving with a water-based acrylic resin matrix and maximum delta attenuation. It would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, through routine experimentation, to create a fiber optic cable having the roving with a water-based acrylic resin matrix and a maximum delta attenuation of 0.3 dB/20 meters or less (measured at 1550nm and –40°C). It is the examiner's opinion that the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the claimed fiber optic cable parameters in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim.

Claims 24-33 and 35-40 are allowed as they depend upon allowed claims 23 and 34.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see form PTO-892 for additional references cited.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,619 Page 5

Art Unit: 2883

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charlie Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-2177. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 6 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charlie Peng September 28, 2005

> Brian Healy Primary Examiner

In Her